

Appl. No. 10/034,699  
 Amdt. Dated 04/06/2004  
 Reply to Office Action of 02/06/2004

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed January 12, 2005. In the Office Action, claims 3-8, 10-11, 14-21, 23-25 and 27-33 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent 6,469,379. To facilitate prosecution, Applicant respectfully submits herewith a terminal disclaimer to obviate the obviousness-type double patenting rejection. Withdrawal of the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 3-8, 10-11, 14-21, 23-25 and 27-33 is respectfully requested.

*Conclusion*

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 04/12/2005

By \_\_\_\_\_

William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
 Los Angeles, California 90025

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.84)**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

FACSIMILE

deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
 Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,  
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and  
 Trademark Office.

Date: 4/12/2005

*Susan McFarlane*

4/12/2005

Date